


WEST Search History

DATE: Sunday, March 21, 2004

Hide?	<u>Set</u> <u>Name</u>	<u>Query</u>	<u>Hit</u> <u>Count</u>
		<i>DB=EPAB,DWPI; PLUR=YES; OP=ADJ</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	L17	((user or client\$) near4 (profil\$ or characteristic\$ or parameter\$)) same (confidential\$ or private or privacy) same ((web site) or (web page)) same (monitor\$ or trace or tracing or track\$ or spy\$ or spied)	1
		<i>DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=ADJ</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	L16	((user or client\$) near4 (profil\$ or characteristic\$ or parameter\$)) same confidential\$ same ((web site) or (web page)) same (monitor\$ or trace or tracing or track\$ or spy\$ or spied)	1
<input type="checkbox"/>	L15	l13[ti,ab]	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	L14	L13 and l3	1
<input type="checkbox"/>	L13	((user or client\$) near4 (profil\$ or characteristic\$ or parameter\$)) same (private or privacy) same ((web site) or (web page)) same (monitor\$ or trace or tracing or track\$ or spy\$ or spied)	18
<input type="checkbox"/>	L12	6119101[pn]	1
<input type="checkbox"/>	L11	l9 same ((web site) or (web page)) same (monitor\$ or trace or tracing or track\$ or spy\$ or spied)	1
<input type="checkbox"/>	L10	L9 and l1	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	L9	(user or client\$) near4 control\$ near4 disseminat\$	15
<input type="checkbox"/>	L8	l7 not l4	6
<input type="checkbox"/>	L7	l1 near8 (monitor\$ or trace or tracing or track\$ or spy\$ or spied)	7
		<i>DB=EPAB,DWPI; PLUR=YES; OP=ADJ</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	L6	L5 near8 (monitor\$ or trace or tracing or track\$ or spy\$ or spied)	1
<input type="checkbox"/>	L5	((request\$ or access\$) near4 ((web page) or (web site))) near8 (profil\$ or characteristic\$ or parameter\$ or statistic\$)	43
		<i>DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=ADJ</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	L4	l1 and L3	13
<input type="checkbox"/>	L3	709/224[ccls]	1666
<input type="checkbox"/>	L2	L1 same (third party)	1
<input type="checkbox"/>	L1	((request\$ or access\$) near4 ((web page) or (web site))) near8 (profil\$ or characteristic\$ or parameter\$ or statistic\$)	144

END OF SEARCH HISTORY



(10) Patent No.: US 6,446,261 B1
(45) Date of Patent: Sep. 3, 2002

- [illegible]

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)

Generate Collection

Print

L13: Entry 10 of 18

File: USPT

Sep 3, 2002

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6446261 B1

TITLE: Set top device for targeted electronic insertion of indicia into video

CLAIMS:

13. A set-top device for sending and receiving data pertaining to television or video viewing in which a video signal having been transmitted by a video distribution mechanism is received by said device and modified prior to viewing by utilizing automatically selected video indicia or sequences which are stored locally on said set-top device, said device comprising: means local to the user for monitoring the usage of a television or video viewing device; means local and private to the user for automatically creating a continuously updated viewer profile based upon the cumulative data acquired by said monitoring means, wherein said means for automatically creating a viewer profile further comprises analysis of the user's accesses to web-sites when browsing the World Wide Web or other computer network.



US006138155A

United States Patent [19]

Davis et al.

[11] Patent Number: **6,138,155**[45] Date of Patent: **Oct. 24, 2000**

[54] **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TRACKING CLIENT INTERACTION WITH A NETWORK RESOURCE AND CREATING CLIENT PROFILES AND RESOURCE DATABASE**

[76] Inventors: Owen Davis, 214 W. 102nd St.-#2A,
New York, N.Y. 10025; Vidyut Jain,
352 6th Ave.-#3, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11215

[21] Appl. No.: 09/120,376

[22] Filed: Jul. 21, 1998

Related U.S. Application Data

[63] Continuation of application No. 08/821,534, Mar. 21, 1997,
Pat. No. 5,796,952.

[51] Int. Cl.⁷ G06F 13/00

[52] U.S. Cl. 709/224

[58] Field of Search 364/DIG. 1, DIG. 2;
380/4; 709/200, 201, 202, 203, 224, 100,
300; 710/100; 712/205; 395/712

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,977,594	12/1990	Shear	380/4
5,638,443	6/1997	Stefik et al.	380/4
5,675,510	10/1997	Coffey et al.	364/514 A
5,682,525	10/1997	Bouve et al.	395/615
5,706,502	1/1998	Foley et al.	395/682
5,708,780	1/1998	Levergood et al.	395/200.12
5,710,918	1/1998	Lagarde et al.	395/680

5,715,453 2/1998 Stewart 395/615
5,796,952 8/1998 Davis et al. 709/224


Primary Examiner—Robert B. Harrell

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Brown Raysman Millstein Felder
& Steiner LLP

[57] ABSTRACT

A method for monitoring client interaction with a resource downloaded from a server in a computer network includes the steps of using a client to specify an address of a resource located on a first server, downloading a file corresponding to the resource from the first server in response to specification of the address, using the client to specify an address of a first executable program located on a second server, the address of the first executable program being embedded in the file downloaded from the first server, the first executable program including a software timer for monitoring the amount of time the client spends interacting with and displaying the file downloaded from the first server, downloading the first executable program from the second server to run on the client so as to determine the amount of time the client interacts with the file downloaded from the first server, using a server to acquire client identifying indicia from the client, and uploading the amount of time determined by the first executable program to a third server. The first executable program may also monitor time, keyboard events, mouse events, and the like, in order to track choices and selections made by a user in the file, and may execute upon the occurrence of a predetermined event, as well as monitoring or determining the amount of information downloaded by the client.

53 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



First Hit**End of Result Set**

Generate Collection

Print

L17: Entry 1 of 1

File: DWPI

Oct 24, 2000

DERWENT-ACC-NO: 2001-158052

DERWENT-WEEK: 200350

COPYRIGHT 2004 DERWENT INFORMATION LTD

TITLE: Tracking of client interaction with network resource, involves downloading resource from one server along with resource use monitoring program stored in another server, to client

Basic Abstract Text (2):

USE - For tracking client interaction with network resource such as web page or ad banner and client profiles and resource database. Also for use in LAN, internet, on-line subscription service, on-line database service, private networks, public networks.



US006115709A

United States Patent [19]

Gilmour et al.

[11] Patent Number: 6,115,709
[45] Date of Patent: Sep. 5, 2000

[54] METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
CONSTRUCTING A KNOWLEDGE PROFILE
OF A USER HAVING UNRESTRICTED AND
RESTRICTED ACCESS PORTIONS
ACCORDING TO RESPECTIVE LEVELS OF
CONFIDENCE OF CONTENT OF THE
PORTIONS

[75] Inventors: David L. Gilmour, Los Altos Hills;
Hua-Wen Wang, Milpitas, both of
Calif.

[73] Assignee: Tacit Knowledge Systems, Inc., Palo
Alto, Calif.

[21] Appl. No.: 09/157,092

[22] Filed: Sep. 18, 1998

[51] Int. Cl.⁷ G06F 17/30

[52] U.S. Cl. 707/9; 707/5; 707/6; 707/10;
705/7; 713/200

[58] Field of Search 707/9, 10, 1-2,
707/104; 709/217-219; 705/14, 26, 35-37;
713/200-202

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,914,586	4/1990	Swinchart et al.	364/200
5,247,575	9/1993	Sprague et al.	380/9
5,247,661	9/1993	Hager et al.	395/600
5,251,131	10/1993	Masand et al.	364/419.08

(List continued on next page.)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Welcome to enonymous.com, Web page, "Be Privacy
Aware . . . Be enonymous", [http://www.enonymous.com/
default.asp](http://www.enonymous.com/default.asp). date unknown.

Anonymous Web Page, "Why be enonymous?", [http://www.
enonymous.com/whybeenon.asp](http://www.enonymous.com/whybeenon.asp) date unknown.

Anonymous Web Page, What is enonymous advisor?, [http://
www.enonymous.com/whatisit.asp](http://www.enonymous.com/whatisit.asp). date unknown.

Anonymous Web Page, "How enonymous advisor beta
works . . .", <http://www.enonymous.com/howitworks.asp>
date unknown.

Anonymous Web Page, "The enonymous zone . . .",
<http://www.enonymous.com/zone.asp> date unknown.

Anonymous Web Page, "the enonymous profile . . .",
<http://www.enonymous.com/profile.asp> date unknown.

Anonymous Web Page, "Frequently Asked Questions",
<http://www.enonymous.com/faq.asp> date unknown.

"Yenta: A Multi-Agent, Referral-Based Matchmaking Sys-
tem", Leonard N. Foner, *The First International Conference
on Autonomous Agents (Agents '97)*, Marina del Rey, CA,
1997.

"A Multi-Agent Referral System for Matchmaking",
Leonard N. Foner, *The First International Conference on the
Practical Applications of Intelligent Agents and Multi-
Agent Technology*, London UK, Apr. 1996.

"Clustering and Information Sharing in an Ecology of
Cooperating Agents", Leonard N. Foner, *AAAI Workshop on
Information Gathering in Distributed, Heterogenous Envi-
ronments '95*, Palo Alto, CA 1995.

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Hosain T. Alam


Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor &
Zafman, LLP

[57]

ABSTRACT

A method of constructing a user knowledge profile, having
distinct public and private portions with different access
restrictions, requires assigning a confidence level to content
within an electronic document. The electronic document is
associated with a user, such as for example the author of the
document. The content may be potentially indicative of a
knowledge base of the user. The content is then stored in
either the public or private portion of the user knowledge
profile dependent upon whether the confidence level
exceeds, or falls below, a predetermined threshold level. The
public portion of the user knowledge profile is freely acces-
sible by third parties, whereas the private portion has
restricted access.

67 Claims, 32 Drawing Sheets



First Hit Fwd Refs

Generate Collection

Print

L13: Entry 17 of 18

File: USPT

Sep 5, 2000

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6115709 A

**** See image for Certificate of Correction ****

TITLE: Method and system for constructing a knowledge profile of a user having unrestricted and restricted access portions according to respective levels of confidence of content of the portions

Detailed Description Text (112):

FIG. 19 is a flow chart illustrating a method 500, according to one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, of managing user authorization to publish, or permit access to, a user knowledge profile. The method 500 is executed by the case controller 45A that tracks open "cases" and initiates notification to users concerning the status of such cases. For the purposes of the present specification, the term "case" may be taken to refer to a user authorization process for publication of, or access to, a user knowledge profile. The method 500 commences at step 502, and then proceeds to step 504, where a match is detected with a private portion of a user knowledge profile. At step 504, the case controller 45A then opens a case, and notifies the target user at step 506 concerning the "hits" or matches between a document (or query) term and a knowledge term in a knowledge user profile. This notification may be by way of an e-mail message, or by way of publication of information on a Web page accessed by the user. At step 508, the case controller 45A determines whether an expiration date, by which the target user is required to respond to the hit, has been reached or in fact passed. If the expiration date has passed, the case controller 45A closes the case and the method 500 terminates. Alternatively, a determination is made at decision box 510 as to whether the target user has responded to the notification by authorizing publication of, or access to, his or her user knowledge profile based on the hit on the private portion thereof. If the target user has not authorized such action (i.e., declined authorization), an inquiring user (e.g., the author user of an e-mail or a user performing a manual database search to locate an expert) is notified of the decline at step 512. Alternatively, should the target user have authorized publication or access, the inquiring user is similarly notified of the authorization at step 514. The notification of the inquiring user at steps 512 or 514 may be performed by transmitting an e-mail to the inquiring user, or by providing a suitable indication on a web page (e.g., a home page or search/query web page) accessed by the inquiring user. At step 516, the appropriate portions of the user profile pertaining to the target user are published to the inquiring user, or the inquiring user is otherwise permitted access to the user profile. At step 518, the case controller 45A then closes the case, whereafter the method terminates.

L13: Entry 17 of 18

File: USPT

Sep 5, 2000

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6115709 A

**** See image for Certificate of Correction ****

TITLE: Method and system for constructing a knowledge profile of a user having unrestricted and restricted access portions according to respective levels of confidence of content of the portions

Detailed Description Text (112):

FIG. 19 is a flow chart illustrating a method 500, according to one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, of managing user authorization to publish, or permit access to, a user knowledge profile. The method 500 is executed by the case controller 45A that tracks open "cases" and initiates notification to users concerning the status of such cases. For the purposes of the present specification, the term "case" may be taken to refer to a user authorization process for publication of, or access to, a user knowledge profile. The method 500 commences at step 502, and then proceeds to step 504, where a match is detected with a private portion of a user knowledge profile. At step 504, the case controller 45A then opens a case, and notifies the target user at step 506 concerning the "hits" or matches between a document (or query) term and a knowledge term in a knowledge user profile. This notification may be by way of an e-mail message, or by way of publication of information on a Web page accessed by the user. At step 508, the case controller 45A determines whether an expiration date, by which the target user is required to respond to the hit, has been reached or in fact passed. If the expiration date has passed, the case controller 45A closes the case and the method 500 terminates. Alternatively, a determination is made at decision box 510 as to whether the target user has responded to the notification by authorizing publication of, or access to, his or her user knowledge profile based on the hit on the private portion thereof. If the target user has not authorized such action (i.e., declined authorization), an inquiring user (e.g., the author user of an e-mail or a user performing a manual database search to locate an expert) is notified of the decline at step 512. Alternatively, should the target user have authorized publication or access, the inquiring user is similarly notified of the authorization at step 514. The notification of the inquiring user at steps 512 or 514 may be performed by transmitting an e-mail to the inquiring user, or by providing a suitable indication on a web page (e.g., a home page or search/query web page) accessed by the inquiring user. At step 516, the appropriate portions of the user profile pertaining to the target user are published to the inquiring user, or the inquiring user is otherwise permitted access to the user profile. At step 518, the case controller 45A then closes the case, whereafter the method terminates.



US005966705A

United States Patent [19]

Koneru et al.

[11] **Patent Number:** 5,966,705[45] **Date of Patent:** Oct. 12, 1999

[54] **TRACKING A USER ACROSS BOTH SECURE AND NON-SECURE AREAS ON THE INTERNET, WHEREIN THE USERS IS INITIALLY TRACKED USING A GLOBALLY UNIQUE IDENTIFIER**

5,712,979 1/1998 Graber et al. 395/200.54
 5,754,939 5/1998 Herz et al. 455/4.2
 5,761,499 6/1998 Sonderegger 395/610

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

[75] **Inventors:** Sudheer Koneru, North Bend; Michael H. Tuchen, Seattle, both of Wash.

Van Name et al., "Web Security and the Cookie Controversy," *PC Week* (Jul. 1996) (1 page).

[73] **Assignee:** Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Wash.

Russell Davis, Network Authentication Tokens, IEEE 1990 and 234-238, Mar. 1990.

[21] **Appl. No.:** 08/885,324

Primary Examiner—Paul R. Lintz

Assistant Examiner—Srirama Channavajjala

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Klarquist Sparkman Campbell Leigh & Winston, LLP

[22] **Filed:** Jun. 30, 1997

[57] ABSTRACT

[51] **Int. Cl.⁶** G06F 17/30


[52] **U.S. Cl.** 707/9; 707/10; 375/200.33

[58] **Field of Search** 707/1, 10, 9, 3,
 707/104, 2, 6, 7, 8, 100, 102, 200, 201,
 501, 513; 395/200.32, 683, 187.01, 188.01,
 200.57, 200.54, 200.59, 200.33; 380/23,
 29

A system and method is disclosed for tracking a user across both secure and non-secure areas on an Internet and/or Intranet site. In one aspect of the system and method, when a user first accesses a non-secure area, such as a public area, the user is assigned a token, such as a globally-unique identifier (GUID). The token is used as a key to a database entry on a server computer for tracking the user in non-secure areas. When the user first accesses a secure area, the user is prompted to enter a user identification and a password. The user identification is then used as the key to the database entry, rather than the token. The server then uses the user identification to track the user across both secure and non-secure areas.

[56] References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

5,425,102 6/1995 Moy 380/25
 5,522,077 5/1996 Cuthbert et al. 395/683
 5,684,951 11/1997 Goldman et al. 395/188.01
 5,689,566 11/1997 Nguyen 380/25
 5,696,898 12/1997 Baker et al. 395/187.01
 5,708,780 1/1998 Levergood et al. 395/200.59

25 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)**End of Result Set**

Generate Collection

Print

L13: Entry 18 of 18

File: USPT

Oct 12, 1999

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5966705 A

**** See image for Certificate of Correction ****

TITLE: Tracking a user across both secure and non-secure areas on the Internet, wherein the users is initially tracked using a globally unique identifier

Brief Summary Text (8):

In some situations, users that are accessing sites also desire security so that they are not impersonated by other users. For example, many sites are storing information relating to a user's browsing characteristics, such as what links the user activated, how often the user accesses the site, and how long the user remained on a particular web page. Additionally, sites may store customization information. For example, a document may be displayed to the user having customization options relating to news, sports, entertainment, etc. Based on the options the user selects, the document only displays content related to those selected options. Moreover, the site retains the user-selected options so that the customization information is re-displayed when the user re-accesses the same document at a later time. Storing information relating to user activity or storing customization information for a user is called "tracking" a user. Users want to ensure that a site is not tracking an impersonator of the user, thereby providing the impersonator with access to the user's private customization options.

L13: Entry 18 of 18

File: USPT

Oct 12, 1999

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5966705 A

**** See image for Certificate of Correction ****

TITLE: Tracking a user across both secure and non-secure areas on the Internet, wherein the users is initially tracked using a globally unique identifier

Brief Summary Text (8):

In some situations, users that are accessing sites also desire security so that they are not impersonated by other users. For example, many sites are storing information relating to a user's browsing characteristics, such as what links the user activated, how often the user accesses the site, and how long the user remained on a particular web page. Additionally, sites may store customization information. For example, a document may be displayed to the user having customization options relating to news, sports, entertainment, etc. Based on the options the user selects, the document only displays content related to those selected options. Moreover, the site retains the user-selected options so that the customization information is re-displayed when the user re-accesses the same document at a later time. Storing information relating to user activity or storing customization information for a user is called "tracking" a user. Users want to ensure that a site is not tracking an impersonator of the user, thereby providing the impersonator with access to the user's private customization options.